

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/13/2001 09/905,037 Zine Eddine Boutaghou STL 9721 2226 EXAMINER 7590 01/14/2005 Seagate Technology LLC VINH, LAN Intellectual Property Department **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 7801 Computer Avenue South-NRW097 1765 Bloomington, MN 55435

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							MK
		- At	oplication No.	Apı	plicant(s)		•
Office Action Summary		0:	9/905,037	во	UTAGHOU, ZINE	EDDINE	
		Ex	aminer	Art	Unit		
		La	n Vinh	176	5		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the corre	spondence addr	ess	•
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN unsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (c) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so the toreply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply with tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may in the statutory minimum of the ply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	a reply be timely file hirty (30) days will b ONTHS from the ma ABANDONED (35	ed be considered timely. ailing date of this comm U.S.C. § 133).	munication.	
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>03 Janua</i>	ary 2005				
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						•
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-19 and 21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13,16 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,14,15,18,19 and 21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.</li> </ul>						
,							
5)							
· —							
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
·	Applicant may not request that any obje		•	-			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		•		• •	1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d)	or (f)		1
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority		•	. 3 1 10(a) (a)	J. (1).		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ve been received in	Application N	o		
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		en received in	this National St	age	
* 5	application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		nt received			
`	see the attached detailed office action	on tot a list of th	re certined copies no	ot received.			ŧ
Attachmen	t(s)		•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent	Application (PTO-1	52)	
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8312001</u> .	F10/36/08)	6)  Other: _		rippiioauoii (F 1 O+1)	<i></i> )	

Art Unit: 1765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The after-final amendment filed on 1/3/2005 has been entered. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Brand et al (US 5,853,959). A discussion of the rejection follows.

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings were received on 1/3/2005. These drawings are fig 2, 3, 4 and 18.

The drawings (fig. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17) are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the referral numbers as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional

Art Unit: 1765

replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 14-15, 18-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brand et al (US 5,853,959).

Brands discloses a method for fabricating a contoured slider surface feature comprises the steps of:

forming/positioning a patterned resist mask layer 234 over the structure/device 236, wherein the mask 234 exposes a surface of the device 236 (col 7, lines 60-64, fig. 10 B)

ion milling/etching to etch the pattern into a surface of the structure/device 236 to form one sidewall and a round slope/edge between the exposed surface on the device and one sidewall in the pattern (col 7, lines 66-67, fig. 10 C)

Application/Control Number: 09/905,037

Art Unit: 1765

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 10C also shows the rounded edge/arcuate edge extends along the sidewall

Regarding claim 3, Brands discloses using ion milling etching to etch recessed featureas/openings in the substrate/device (fig. 10 C)

Regarding claim 5, Fig. 10 C shows the ion milling step forms an island, the sidewall located on an edge of the island

Regarding claims 6-9, Fig. 10 B-C shows the patterned mask is form with variable spacing to produce the rounded slope and varied rounded slopes, the mask is formed with spacing that are closer near the surface of the device and more widely spaced near the sidewall

The limitations of claims 14-15 have been discussed above

Regarding claim 18, Brands discloses the step of forming/applying resist mask layer 234 to the surface of the substrate/device 236 (col 7, lines 60-61)

Regarding claim 19, Fig. 2B shows that the device comprises more than one layer and Fig. 10 C shows that the ion milling step etches into one layer of the substrate

Regarding claim 21, Brands discloses that the device is a slider (col 2, lines 64-65)

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1765

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 4, the cited prior art of record fails to disclose the step of inserting a mating element into the opening on the device such that the rounded edge formed during the etching step permits the mating element to be inserted into an opening in the device without fracturing the device, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 4.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/3/2005 with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 6-9,14-15, 18-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 571 272 1471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571 272 1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 7.03-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,037

Art Unit: 1765

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LV

January 11, 2005